IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.R.RAMAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

FRIDAY, THE 13TH APRIL 2007 / 23RD CHAITHRA 1929

RP.No. 367 of 2007(S) in WP(C) 11905/04

(Against the judgment in W.P.(C)No.11905/04)
REVIEW PETITIONERS/RESPONDENTS 1 & 3

1. UNION OF INDIA, REP. BY THE SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF SPACE, ANTARIKSH BHAVAN,
BANGALORE.

2. THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER,
RECRUITMENT SECTION, VIKRAM SARABHAI SPACE
CENTRE, ISRO POST, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 022.

BY ADV. SRI.S.KRISHNAMOORTHY, CGC

RESPONDENTS: PETITIONERS/RESPONDENTS 2 & 4 TO 10

1. K.M.CHANDRASEKHARAN, KALALAYAM HOUSE,
EROOR P.O., TRIPUNITHURA,
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

2. THE EMPLOYMENT OFFICER,
EMPLOYMENT EXCHANGE,
CIVIL STATION, KOCHI - 20.

3. P.SOBHA, T.C.NO.4092, IST PUTHENSTREET,
MANACADU, KODANAVILA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 123.

4. MADHURI, KANJRAVILAKOM PUTHEN VEEDU,
KONNAMODU, KODANAVILA, TRIVANDRUM - 695 123.

5. S.OMANA, THOTTARIKATHU VEEDU,
THATTATHUMALA VIA, ADAYAMON P.O.,
KILIMANOOR.

6. K.BABU, KOYILI HOUSE, KANHIRODE
STREET, KANHIRODE P.O.,
KANNUR - 680 004.

7. B.LATHA, PATHURUPARAMBIL HOUSE,
PARAVOOR, PUNNAPPARA P.O.,
ALAPPUZHA - 680 004.

8. P.M.SURESH BABU, PUTHIYOTTIL MEETHAL,
PURAMERI P.O., VIA VADAKARA, KOZHIKODE - 673 503.

9. A.B.CELINE F-44, SANTHI NAGAR,
KIZHAKUTTOM P.O., TRIVANDRUM - 695 582.

BY ADV. SRI.P.SANJAY

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 10/04/2007, THE COURT ON 13/4/2007 PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

P.R.Raman & Antony Dominic, JJ.
==========
R.P.No.367 of 2007
in
W.P.(C)No.11905 of 2004
==========

Dated this the 13th day of April, 2007.

ORDER

Antony Dominic,J.

This review petition is filed by respondents 1 and 3 in W.P.(C) No.11905 of 2004. The Writ Petition was disposed of by judgment dated 13th February, 2007, setting aside Ext.P6 order of the Central Administrative Tribunal and directing the review petitioners to appoint the first respondent herein in the post of Office Clerk 'A', if necessary creating supernumerary vacancies; so that any incumbent already appointed will not be adversely affected. It is alleged in support of the review petition that in view of Annexure A, office memorandum of the Government of India dated 15th July, 2003, the induction level of clerical staff in Indian Space Research Organisation has been modified. It is also stated that consequent to Annexure A, qualification, mode of selection, etc. for the relevant post also has undergone modification by Annexure 'B' dated 19th May, 2004.

2. We have heard counsel and considered the submissions made at the Bar and we do not find any merit in the review petition. The

grievance that was highlighted in the Writ Petition related to the irregular appointment made by the review petitioners to the post of Office Clerk 'A'. The complaint was that although 10 vacancies were notified and 17 appointments were made, despite the first respondent herein being rank No.12, he was not offered employment. This was found to be erroneous and consequently directions were issued in the judgment dated 13th February, 2007. Since the issue that was dealt with by this Court was as stated above, Annexure 'A' or Annexure'B' which were issued much later on 15th April, 2003 and 19th May, 2003 cannot have any relevance.

3. It is settled law that the scope of review is limited and unless there are errors apparent on the face of the record, review cannot be permitted. On an anxious consideration of the grounds urged by the review petitioners, we see that no such grounds are made out requiring review of the judgment.

Review Petition will stand dismissed.

P.R.Raman,
judge.

Antony Dominic
Judge.

ess 11/4